UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,926	09/11/2003	Mazen Chmaytelli	990545 8382	
	7590 12/18/200 INCORPORATED	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHO SAN DIEGO, O	OUSE DR.	[HALIYUR, VENKATESH N	
SAN DIEGO, (A 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2476	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,926	CHMAYTELLI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VENKATESH HALIYUR	2476	

	VENKATESH HALIYUR	2476	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying the	
 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s). 	11. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19,21-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ayaz R. Sheikh/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2476	/Venkatesh Haliyur/ Examiner, Art Unit 2476		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments filed on 11/24/2009 fail to overcome 35 USC 103(a) rejections for claims 1-19,21-31.

With respect to applicant's argument see remarks, filed on 11/24/2009 that the references fail to suggest or teach the limitations of 1-19,20-31 for the wireless device, however the examiner respectfully disagrees and points applicants to references, where Brown et al disclosed the claimed features alternative emobdiments for the wireless device (mobile phone Fig 1,Fig 3) and the processor in para 0047, 0059-0060, 0091 operating in the wireless network and Payne et al (Fig 3) disclosed the processor with memory to perform the steps of claims 1-19,20-31 for different classes of attempted incoming and outgoing communication services (col 5, lines 53-57, col 6, lines 1-39) and Mohan et al disclosed the features of response to attempted incoming class in para 0066-0074 and 0080-0085,0093, Figs 6-9.

Therefore obviousness can be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. In this case Brown, Payne and Mohan et al references disclosed the claimed invention in the related art for wirelss devices operating in a wireless network.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., see para 0022-0025 of the specification) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. Therefore the claims limitations have been given a broad interpretation in the rejections.

/V.H./.